

City of Albuquerque Environmental Health Department



21 March 2006

TO:

Bruce J. Perlman, Ph.D., Chief Administrative Officer

FROM:

Alfredo Robert Santistevan, Director, Environmental Health Department

SUBJECT: Recommendation of Award: RFP06-010-SV, "Ozone Modeling Analysis"

The City of Albuquerque Department of Finance and Administrative Services, Purchasing Division, issued the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) for the Environmental Health Department, to solicit Proposals from qualified companies to provide Ozone Modeling Analysis to the City.

The RFP was posted on the Purchasing Web Site and advertised in the local newspapers. Ten RFP's were mailed to interested vendors, and three vendors attended the Pre-Proposal Conference. Three Proposals were submitted for evaluation.

The ad hoc evaluation committee reviewed, evaluated and scored the responses, in accordance with the evaluation criteria published in the RFP and contacted two respondents to clarify issues regarding the proposals submitted. The committee concluded evaluation and recommends award of contract to Sonoma Technology, Inc. This project is grant funded, so Geographical Preference and additional points for Community Involvement were not permitted.

Listed in ranking order are the respondent's composite score and average scores.

Offeror	Composite Score	Average Score	Proposed Budget
Sonoma Technology, Inc.	4730	946	\$117,010*
Alpine Geophysics, LLC	4540	908	\$119,873
Desert Research Institute	4135	827	\$119,723

Fund Source: Grant

^{*}Published budget per grant: \$120,000. Sonoma Technology submitted an alternate offer to provide two models (as permitted in the RFP) as negotiated, not to exceed \$120,000.

21 March 2006

Bruce J. Perlman, Ph.D.

Recommendation of Award: RFP06-010-SV,

Page 2.

I concur with the ad hoc committee's recommendation. The Department will begin contract negotiations immediately upon your approval.

Per the City Purchases Ordinance, this recommendation will be forwarded to City Council for final approval.

Approved:

Recommended:

Bruce J. Perlman, Ph.D.

(Date)

Chief Administrative Officer

Ed Adams,

Chief Operations Officer

Attachment: Composite Score Sheet

Original:

Sandy Vescovi, Contract Section Supervisor, Purchasing Division, DFAS

Copy:

Tanda L. Meadors, Director, DFAS John J. Vigil, Purchasing Officer

File: RFP06-010-SV

Composite Score: RFP06-010-SV, "Ozone Modeling Analysis"

EVALUATION EVALUATION CRITERIA EVALUATION ALPINE DESERT SONOMA FACTORS COPPYSICS SEPARCH TECHNONLOGY TECHN				OFFEDORO			
FACTORS GEOHN'SICS RESEARCH TECHONOLOGY	EVALUATORS	EVALUATION CRITERIA	EVALUATION	AI PINE	OFFERORS		
IT Offeror's general approach & plans to meet the CA requirements of the RFP. 100 95 90 95 100 80 100							
Offeror's general approach & plans to meet the requirements of the RFP. 100 85 100 85 100 85 100 85 100 85 100 85 100 85 100 85 100 80 80 100 80 100 1							
CA requirements of the RFP.		Offeror's general approach & plans to meet the	Up to 100				
Separation 100 85 100	CA	requirements of the RFP.					
Substitute	JS						
SUB TOTAL 475 435 495	EP						
SUB TOTAL 475 435 495	MS						
Total Composite State		SUB TOTAL					
CA task, activity, etc. on the required schedule. 195	IT						
S S S S S S S S S S	CA	task, activity, etc. on the required schedule	Op 10 200				
SUB TOTAL Sub	JS	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
SUB TOTAL Sub	EP						
SUB TOTAL S90	MS					190	
T		CUD TOTAL				180	
Substitute	iΤ				815	950	
Secribed in Part 3, Scope of Services. 185 200 195		25 shows on staff recurred to me of the Orieror & Personnel	Up to 200	185	185	190	
SUB TOTAL 188 200 195 190		described in Post 2. Seems of Co. 1		195	180	190	
190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 180 200 180 200 180 200 180 200 180 200 180 200 180 200 180 200 180 200 180 200 180 200 190		described in Part 5, Scope of Services.		185	200	195	
SUB TOTAL SUB TOTAL 905 935 965 IT Adequacy of proposed project management and Up to 100 Adequacy of proposed project management and Up to 100 Adequacy of proposed project management and Up to 100 ADDA TECHNICAL SCORE SUB TOTAL SUB TOTAL 100 75 100 75 100 75 100 75 100 75 75 80 100 100 75 100 100 100 100 100				190	190	190	
IT	AIG .			150	180	200	
Adequacy of proposed project management and Up to 100 85 75 80 CA resources to be utilized by the Offeror. 100 75 100 75 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10				905	935	965	
Substitute Sub		Adequacy of proposed project management and	Up to 100	85	75		
Substitute		resources to be utilized by the Offeror.		100			
SUB TOTAL 100				100	75		
SUB TOTAL 100				100	=		
SUB TOTAL 475 395 455	MS			90			
Offeror's past performance on projects of similar Up to 200 145 150 150 150 190		SUB TOTAL		475			
CA scope and size	łΤ	Offeror's past performance on projects of similar	Up to 200				
S	CA	scope and size	•				
SUB TOTAL Sub	JS						
SUB TOTAL SUB	EP						
SUB TOTAL	MS						
Total Composite Score Comp		SUB TOTAL					
CA evaluation committee, to successfully complete the 100 75 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	IT		lin to 100				
S	CA	evaluation committee, to successfully complete the	Op 10100				
FP judgment will be based upon factors such as project management plan and availability of staff and resources SUB TOTAL Up to 900 470 325 490	JS	project within the proposed schedule. This					
MS project management plan and availability of staff 90 50 100	EP	judgment will be based upon factors such as					
SUB TOTAL Up to 900 470 325 490 TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE 4050 3645 4230 Up to 100 98 98 100 SUB TOTAL Up to 900 470 325 490 Up to 100 98 98 100 98 98 100 98 98 100 98 98 100 SUB TOTAL 490 490 500 TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE 4540 4135 4730	MS	project management plan and availability of staff					
SUB TOTAL Up to 900 470 325 490 TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE 4050 3645 4230 Up to 100 98 98 100 SUB TOTAL Up to 100 98 98 100 98 98 100 98 98 100 98 98 100 98 98 100 SUB TOTAL 490 490 500 TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE 4540 4135 4730				90	50	100	
TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE IT Cost Proposal CA JS EP MS TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE Up to 100 98 98 98 100 98 98 100 98 98 98 100 98 98 100 98 98 90 100 SUB TOTAL 490 490 500 TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE 4540 4135 4730			Up to 900	470	325	490	
T Cost Proposal		TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE		4050			
CA JS JS 98 98 98 100 PR 98 98 98 100 PR MS SUB TOTAL 490 490 500 TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE 4540 4135 4730	IT (IIn 4a 400				
JS 98 98 100 EP 98 98 100 MS 98 98 100 MS 98 98 100 SUB TOTAL 490 490 500 TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE 4540 4135 4730		·	up to 100				
EP 98 98 100 MS 98 98 100 SUB TOTAL 490 490 500 TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE 4540 4135 4730							
MS SUB TOTAL SUB TOTAL SUB TOTAL SUB TOTAL 490 490 TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE 4540 4135 4730							
SUB TOTAL 490 490 500 TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE 4540 4135 4730						100	
TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE 4540 4135 4730	I	OUD TOTAL				100	
TOTAL AVERAGE SCORE		SUB TOTAL		490	490	500	
TOTAL AVERAGE SCORE 908 827 946		TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE		4540	4135	4730	
		TOTAL AVERAGE SCORE		908	82 7	946	